Integrating human brain maps

Peter T Fox and Marty G Woldorff

University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, USA

Perception, action, cognition, and emotion can now be mapped in the brain by a growing family of techniques. Positron emission tomography, functional magnetic resonance imaging, event-related electrical potentials, event-related magnetic fields, and other non-invasive imaging techniques are rapidly evolving and providing an increasingly rich literature on the functional organization of the human brain. Although no two techniques map identical physiological processes or physical parameters, replications of functionally specific maps by different techniques indicate sufficient common ground for multimodality integration. The process of integration is multi-tiered. Recent advances in integration range from simple image fusion, to model-based synthetic analyses, to collective databases for neural-system modeling. Spatially, temporally, physiologically, and cognitively accurate computational models of the neural systems of human behavior are the ultimate objective of functional brain mapping. This objective will be reached only through integrating the diversity of modern brain-mapping methods.

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 1994, 4:151-156

Introduction

Neural activations during complex human behaviors can be recorded by a growing family of 'brain mapping' modalities (techniques), including positron emission tomography, functional magnetic resonance imaging, event-related (electrical) potentials and event-related magnetic fields, and even by optical reflectance imaging. Collectively, these techniques are providing unprecedented insights into the functional organization of the human brain. Brain-mapping observations differ substantively among modalities: no two modalities detect the same physiological process nor suffer the same constraints on experimental paradigms. Despite this diversity, these observations appear to be replicable across modalities. These replications form the common ground upon which a framework for integration can be constructed. In the process of integration, the unique strengths of each modality must be preserved and complemented. Ideally, this process of integration will produce brain maps with millisecond temporal resolution, millimeter spatial resolution, and the sensitivity to map individual subjects as well as a population. In this review, we will discuss how this goal is being rapidly approached through recent advances in non-invasive brain-mapping methods and in strategies for integration of these diverse methods.

Advances in human functional brain mapping

Functional magnetic resonance imaging

Among brain-mapping modalities, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) - the use of MRI for imaging functional brain activity - has enjoyed the most technical advancement in the past year. When first introduced by Belliveau and colleagues [1], fMRI required intravenous administration of a contrast agent and use of a special gradient-coil system custom-made for ultrahigh-speed ('echo-planar') imaging. In the past two years, these limitations have been progressively overcome. The increase in blood-oxygen content that is induced by neural activity [2] can now be detected using MRI, as a decrease in the local paramagnetic effects of deoxyhemoglobin, which is, in effect, an 'endogenous' contrast agent [3**,4**,5-8]. The speed of echo-planar imaging (typically one frame per second) is uniquely suited to mapping the time course of the hemodynamic response to neural activity [3**,4**,5-8] and to time-series statistical analyses [9,10]. Whereas conventional gradient coils cannot match the temporal resolution of echo-planar imaging, the oxygen-contrast method works well on clinical MRI units [11-13]. Thus, the large, installed base of clinical MRI units can now be used for functional brain mapping. In the face of such rapid developments, the growing numbers of

Abbreviations

CT--X-ray computed tomography; EEG-electroencephalogram; ERF-event-related magnetic field; ERP-event-related (electrical) potential; fMRI--functional MRI; MR-magnetic resonance; MRI--MR imaging; PET-positron emission tomography. fMRI brain-mapping reports should present no surprise $[3^{\bullet\bullet}, 4^{\bullet\bullet}, 5-10, 11^{\bullet}-13^{\bullet}, 14-16]$.

Positron emission tomography

Positron emission tomography (PET), although not currently evolving at the same rate as fMRI, remains a mainstream method for functional brain mapping [17-30]. Modern PET cameras sample the entire brain, an important advantage over current fMRI. An important 'new' direction is single-subject mapping and the study of individual variations in the functional map. Lower-order cortical areas (primary visual cortex, primary sensorimotor cortex, et cetera) are readily detected with PET and have been mapped in individuals for several years. Signal-to-noise limitations in higherorder areas were the original impetus for the development of intersubject averaging [31]. Intrasubject image averaging [32,33•] and the development of volumetric acquisition have improved signal-to-noise sufficiently for mapping of higher-order cortical areas in single subjects. Single-subject functional maps, in turn, can be co-registered with a subject's anatomical images, creating an integrated map of structure and function [26,33•].

Event-related potentials

Event-related potential (ERP) research has typically capitalized on its millisecond temporal resolution, and has focused on issues relating to timing and sequence. (For a review of recent ERP research, see [34•].) A dramatic series of technical and algorithmic developments, however, are rapidly improving its potential for spatial localization. Recording channels have increased from 4-12 simultaneous sites 10 years ago to as many as 128 today, a dividend of recent advances in computer technology. Advanced analytic algorithms capitalize on this high sampling density, to markedly improve source localization [35–39,40••,41]. Fusion of ERPs with anatomical images provides both neuroanatomical correlation and constraints for sourcelocalization algorithms [40**,42,43*,44*,45,46]. Neuralsystems ERP mapping, combining millisecond timing and subcentimeter spatial localization, are now being reported [47•,48-50].

Event-related magnetic fields

Event-related magnetic fields (ERFs) also are in the midst of rapid evolution. (For a review of recent ERF research, see [34•].) As with ERPs, the number of recording channels has increased dramatically from only one or two 10 years ago, to as many as 61 independent recording sites today [51]. Source analysis algorithms have improved dramatically, sharing much with ERP algorithms [51,52•,53–57]. As with ERPs, ERFs require modeling to compute spatial location of active sites. Fusion with an anatomical image (e.g. MRI) allows correlation to neural structure and constraints for source localization. A 'limitation' of ERFs — selective sensitivity to sulcal sources — and the lesser distortion of ERFs by the skull combine to give ERFs an advantage over ERPs for source localization (of sulcal sources) [53,55].

For this reason, high-resolution spatial mapping has generally been more successful and more widely reported with ERFs [58,59,60•,61–63,64•,65,66•].

Merging maps within subjects

Image fusion

The complementarity of imaging modalities makes within-subject integration of brain maps scientifically and clinically appealing. Simple fusion (co-registration) of tomographic modalities (e.g. PET and MRI) is relatively straightforward. The fusion of activation maps with three-dimensional renderings of brain anatomy is still more useful. Merging of group-mean PET images with atlas illustrations or with group-mean MRI images has been done for several years. More recently, Watson and colleagues [33•] co-registered PET functionalactivation maps of a visual-motion-detection area with MRI-derived renderings of the cortical surface in twelve subjects. These integrated maps illustrate the variability of the cortical folding patterns and the degree to which they can predict functional location. Although graphically very appealing, the shortcoming of such fusions is their inability to quantify the variability they so elegantly illustrate. Without some means of anatomical quantification, within-subject mergers of functional and structural maps remain essentially pictorial.

Fusion of surface detection methods (e.g. ERP and ERF) with volumetrically true techniques (e.g. MRI, PET and CT: X-ray computed tomography) is far more challenging than mergers of two tomographic modalities. The problem becomes tractable only through modeling. Strategies for fusing ERP or ERF with MRI have taken two forms. One is the projection of surface distributions onto the underlying brain, typically 'sharpened' by a signal-processing techniques, such as ERP current source density analysis [36,38,39,41,67]. This approach can give coarse localization information concerning activity from superficial sources, but the accuracy and validity, especially for more complex distributions, is rather limited. The second approach is inverse modeling, that is, estimating the locations and strengths of the neural sources from the ERP or ERF waves. As the 'inverse problem' is under determined, a solution requires both a model and simplifying assumptions [37,40**,53,55,56,68]. For simple neural activations, such as some of the early stages of cortical sensory processing, a single-source model can be a reasonable assumption and can provide a good fit of the scalp recorded data. This is especially true for ERF recordings, due to their more selective sensitivity (i.e. being sensitive to sulcal sources only) [58,60•,61,62,64•,65]. Results from PET and fMRI studies suggest strongly, however, that higher stages of processing require multiple, spatially distributed activation sites. Inverse modeling of multiple dipoles is very susceptible to errors in initial assumptions [37,40**,53,55]. An underestimate, for example, of the number of contributing dipoles is likely to cause mislocalization of the remaining dipoles, sometimes to a considerable degree. Successful solutions to this complex problem

will probably incorporate two strategies: behavioral paradigms and difference-wave analyses that simplify the source distributions, and incorporating constraints from other methodologies.

Model-based data synthesis by means of cross-methodological constraints

An emerging, highly sophisticated strategy for integrating brain-mapping modalities is mutually constrained data reduction. One example of this approach is to use CT and/or MR images to obtain information on actual head (and brain) shape to improve the accuracy of the 'forward solution' used in estimating the ERP and ERF scalp distribution that a set of model sources would produce [36,44•,45]. An approach with even greater potential, however, is to also incorporate prior knowledge to constrain the inverse calculations [40**,46,55,56,68]. ERP and ERF activity is generated by cortical current dipoles oriented perpendicular to the cortical surface [40**,55]. Extracting the cortical surfaces from MRI, therefore, can constrain the localization modeling for ERPs and ERFs. The complementarity of ERFs, which only detect sulcal activity, and ERPs, which have greater sensitivity to gyral sources, makes a mutually constrained analysis considerably more powerful than either alone [37,40**,53,69]. Finally, focal activations in a PET or fMRI study can be assumed as probable source generators, thereby constraining the inverse problem. An implicit but pivotal assumption of mutually constrained activation experiments is comparability of behavioral paradigms and neural activation patterns.

An excellent example of the above constraint-driven approach was developed by Dale and Sereno [40**]. In their study, the cortical surface is first extracted from MR images and then tesselated (i.e. divided up) into small polygons. A dipole is associated with each of these small polygons, oriented normal to its surface. These potential dipoles are scanned in a probabilistic way to estimate their contributions to the recorded ERP and/or ERF activity. Their framework can be applied to either ERP or ERF data - or even better, to recordings of both from the same subject - and uses all the time points in the ERP/ERF waveforms for additional information and constraints. In addition, the probablistic calculations can be biased by a priori information from PET or fMRI activation studies. This approach although difficult to implement and perhaps tending to overemphasize focal sources (relative to distributed ones) — holds great promise as a model strategy for integration of brain-mapping modalities.

Integrating maps among subjects

The long-term goal of human-brain mapping is the creation of physiologically and anatomically accurate spatial and temporal models of the neural systems underlying human behavior. To accommodate the multiplicity of neural systems, as well as population variables (such as gender, handedness, and native language), multiple models will be needed. Population models derived from brain images will necessarily be composites, formed from groups of subjects. Integration of images from different subjects is predicated on anatomical normalization. Anatomical normalization, in turn, is predicated upon the use of one or more standard 'anatomical spaces', within which data can be integrated.

Anatomical normalization

Formalization of brain anatomy into a mathematically well-defined space for intersubject integration of functional and structural maps is a problem with no easy solution. Normalization of the entire brain necessitates the use of a three-dimensional, anatomical space. To date, no algorithm for normalization of the entire brain has achieved a unique correspondence between spatial coordinates and traditionally defined anatomical structures. 'Morphing' algorithms, however, are steadily improving [70,71]. A very recent development, the 'convex hull' algorithm [70], promises to be a particularly powerful technique for spatial normalization.

Normalization based on specific structures is an alternative to normalization of the entire brain. Intersubject registration to a specific sulcus or nucleus provides a local refinement of whole-brain morphing. Extraction and normalization of the cortical surface is another frequently encountered example. Flattening the cortical surface into a rectilinear, two-dimensional array is one alternative [72]. 'Relaxing' the cortex into lisencepahaly [40**] may provide an ontogenetically and phylogenetically more primitive anatomical model, within which intersubject integration can be accomplished. Whichever algorithm ultimately emerges as the standard, integration of brain maps among subjects will necessarily rely on some sort of anatomical normalization. The development and validation of these tools remains pivotal for intersubject and interlaboratory comparisons and for the integration of brain maps and models.

Intergroup statistical parametric mapping (population analysis of image data)

An emerging application of anatomical normalization is group-mean mapping of abnormal affective and cognitive states. For example, Drevets and colleagues [73] created a functional map of 'depression', in the form of a pixel-by-pixel comparison of a composite image of depressed patients with a composite image of normal controls. Population analyses using composite images rely heavily upon precise anatomical normalization (see above). The similarities between Drevets' map of unipolar depression and Pardo's map of selfinduced dysphoria [74], however, speak eloquently for the power of these techniques.

Databases, metanalysis, and system models

Registration of brain maps to a standard and, thereby, to one another is a basis for databases of brainmapping research. Properly designed, databases are tools for metanalysis and vehicles for comparing and integrating maps among laboratories, among imaging modalities, and among populations. Fox and colleagues [75] have developed BrainMap, a database for comparing functional maps derived from human brainimaging studies. BrainMap facilitates accurate interpretation of existing activation research through detailed experimental and behavioral coding and rapid visualization of activation maps. Given the breadth of the field, neuroscience will require a large number of databases targeting specific research methods and bodies of knowledge.

Modeling the neural systems of cognition from brainimaging studies will be the next great challenge. Giving rapid access to the cumulative knowledge of the field, databases should be a powerful resource. Spatial and temporal models are needed to express the neural activation patterns associated with specific behaviors. Friston et al. [76,77] have been very active in exploring the use of correlational analysis to establish functional connectivity from PET data. Path analysis is a less direct approach for obtaining similar information [78]. Event-related co-variances may also be a powerful tool for charting the flow of neural activation during information processing [79]. Despite these advances, the most appropriate conceptual framework remains to be established within which the computations performed by the neural systems (measured using brain-imaging studies) can be modeled mathematically [80].

Conclusions

Mapping the neural systems of human cognition is proceeding rapidly. New imaging modalities are providing previously unimaginable access to the neural substrates of human behavior. Maps of neural systems require integration within single subjects, between subjects, between populations, and between modalities. Integration is logistically and mathematically complex, but strategies for such integration are being developed and tested. The collective observations of the human brain-mapping community are becoming so numerous and complex that community databases are being created to organize these observations. Shared databases are proving powerful tools for synthesis and metanalysis. Neural systems models, however, need to be developed to capture the richness of the observations of this field.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the EJLB Foundation.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 of substanding interest
- of outstanding interest
- 1. BELLIVEAU JW, KENNEDY DN, MCKINSTRY RC, BUCHBINDER BR, WEISSKOPH RM, COHEN MS, VEVEA JM, BRADY TJ, ROSEN BR:

Functional Mapping of Human Visual Cortex by Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Science 1991, 254:716-719.

- FOX PT, RAICHLE ME, MINTUN MA, DENCE C: Nonoxidative Glucose Consumption During Focal Physiological Neural Activity. Science 1988, 241:462–464.
- KWONG KK, BELLIVEAU JW, CHESLER DA, GOLDBERG IE, WEISKOFF
 RM, PONCELET BP, KENNEDY DN, HOPPEL BE, COHEN MS, TURNER R, ET AL.: Dynamic Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Human Brain Activity During Primary Sensory Stimulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992, 89:5675-5697.

See [4••].

 OGAWA S, TANK DW, MENON R, ELLERMANN JM, KIM SG, MERKLE
 H, UGURBIL K: Intrinsic Signal Changes Accompanying Sensory Stimulation: Functional Brain Mapping with Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992, 89:5951-5955.

Papers [3^{••}] and [4^{••}] introduce the oxygenation-contrast method that now dominates the field of fMRI.

- FRAHM J, BRUHN H, MERBOLDT KD, HANICKE W: Dynamic MR Imaging of Human Brain Oxygenation During Rest and Photic Stimulation. J Magn Reson Imaging 1992, 2:501-505.
- BLAMIRE AM, OGAWA S, UGURBIL K, ROTHMAN D, MCCARTHY G, ELLERMAN JM, HYDER F, RATTNER Z, SHULMAN R: Dynamic Mapping of the Human Visual Cortex by High-Speed Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992, 89:11069–11073.
- TURNER R, JEZZARD P, WEN H, KWONG KK, LE BIHAN D, ZEFFIOR T, BALABAN RS: Functional Mapping of the Human Visual Cortex at 4 and 1.5 Tesla Using Deoxygenation Contrast EPI. Magn Reson Med 1993, 29:277-279.
- OGAWA S, MENON RS, TANK DW, KIM S-G, MERKLE H, ELLERMANN JM, UGURBIL K: Functional Brain Mapping by Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent Contrast Magnetic Resonance Imaging a Comparison of Signal Characteristics with a Biophysical Model. *Biophys J* 1993, 64:803–812.
- 9. BANDETTINI PA, WONG EC, HINKS RS, TIKIFSKY RS, HYDE JS: Time Course EPI of Human Brain Function During Task Activation. Magn Reson Med 1992, 25:390-397.
- 10. FRISTON KJ, JEZZARD P, TURNER R: The Analysis of Functional MRI Time-Series. Human Brain Mapping 1994, in press.
- CONSTABLE RT, MCCARTHY G, ALLISON T, ANDERSON AW, GORE
 JC: Functional Brain Imaging at 1.5T Using Conventional Gradient Echo MR Imaging Techniques. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1993, 11:451–459.

See [13*].

- SCHNEIDER W, NOLL DC, COHEN JD: Functional Topographic Mapping of the Cortical Ribbon in Human Vision with Conventional MRI Scanners. *Nature* 1993, 365:150–152.
- See [13•].
- RAO SM, BINDER JR, BANDETTINI PA, HAMMEKE TA, YETKIN FZ,
 JESMANOWICZ A, LISK LM, MORRIS GL, MUELLER WM, ESTKOWSKI LD, *ET AL.*: Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Complex Human Movements. *Neurology* 1993, 43:2311-2318.

These three studies $[11^{\bullet}-13^{\bullet}]$ demonstrate that conventional clinical-quality, 1.5 Tesla MRI units can perform functional brain mapping, without the high-speed gradient coils needed for echo-planar imaging.

- MCCARTHY G, BLAMIRE AM, ROTHMAN DL, GRUETTER R, SHULMAN RS: Echo-Planar Magnetic Resonance Imaging Studies of Frontal Cortex Activation During Word Generation in Humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993, 90:4952–4956.
- KIM S, ASHE J, HENDRICH K, ELLERMAN JM, MERKLE H, UGURBIL K, GEORGOPOULOS AP: Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Motor Cortex: Hemispheric Asymmetry and Handedness. Science 1993, 261:615–617.
- SCHNEIDER W, CASEY BJ, NOLL D: Functional MRI Mapping of Stimulus Rate Effects Across Visual Processing Stages. Human Brain Mapping 1994, in press.
- HOWARD D, PATTERSON K, WISE R, BROWN WD, FRISTON K, WEILLER C, FRACKOWIAK R: The Cortical Localization of the Lexicons. Brain 1992, 115:1769–1782.
- DEMONET JF, CHOLLET F, RAMSAY S, CARDEBAT D, NESPOULOUS JL, WISE R, RASCOL A, FRACKOWIAK R: The Anatomy of Phonological and Semantic Processing in Normal Subjects. *Brain* 1992, 115:1753-1768.

- PETRIDES M, ALIVISATOS B, EVANS AC, MEYER E: Dissociation of Human Mid-Dorsolateral from Posterior Dorsolateral Frontal Cortex in Memory Processing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993, 90:873-877.
- CORBETTA M, MIEZIN FM, SHULMAN GL, PETERSEN SE: A PET Study of Visuospatial Attention. J Neurosci 1993, 13:1202–1226.
- JONIDES J, SMITH EE, KOEPPE RA, AWH E, MINOSHIMA S, MINTUN MA: Spatial Working Memory in Humans as Revealed by PET. Nature 1993, 363:623-624.
- MAZOYER BM, TZOURIO N, FRAK V, SYROTA A, MURAYAMA N, LEVRIER O, SALAMON G, DEHAENE S, COHEN L, MEHLER J: The Cortical Representation of Speech. J Cogn Neurosci 1993, 5:467–479.
- 23. KOSSLYN SM, ALPERT NM, THOMPSON WL, MALJKOV V, WEISE SB, CHABRIS CF, HAMLTON SE, RAUCH SL, BUONANNO FS: Visual Mental Imagery Activates Topographically Organized Visual Cortex — PET Investigations. J Cogn Neurosci 1993, 5:263–287.
- GRASBY PM, FRITH CD, FRISTON KJ, BENCH C, FRACKOWIAK RSJ, DOLAN RJ: Functional Mapping of Brain Areas Implicated in Auditory Verbal Memory Function. Brain 1993, 116:1-20.
- 25. PETERSEN SE: The Processing of Single Words Studied with Positron Emission Tomography. Annu Rev Neurosci 1993, 15:509-530.
- 26. GRAFTON ST, WOODS RP, MAZZIOTTA JC: Within Arm Somatotopy in Human Motor Areas Determined by PET Imaging of Cerebral Blood Flow. Exp Brain Res 1993, 95:172–176.
- PAULESU E, FRITH CD, FRACKOWIAK RSJ: The Neural Correlates of the Verbal Component of Working Memory. *Nature* 1993, 362:342-345.
- KAPUT S, CRAIK FIM, TULVING E, WILSON AA, HOULE S, BROWN GM: Neuroanatomical Correlates of Encoding in Episodic Memory: Levels of Processing Effect. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, in press.
- TULVING E, KAPUR S, MARKOWITSCH HJ, CRAIK FIM, HABIB R, HOULE S: Neuroanatomical Correlates of Encoding in Episodic Memory: Auditory Sentence Recognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, in press.
- 30. TULVING E, KAPUR S, CRAIK FIM, MOSCOVITCH M, HUOLE S: Hemispheric Encoding/Retrieval Asymmetry in Episodic Memory: Positron Emission Tomography Findings. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, in press.
- FOX PT, MINTUN MA, REIMAN EM, RAICHLE ME: Enhanced Detection of Focal Brain Responses Using Intersubject Averaging and Change-Distribution Analysis of Subtracted PET Images. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 1988, 8:642–653.
- 32. PARDO J, FOX PT: Preoperative Assessment of the Cerebral Hemispheric Dominance for Language with CBF PET. Human Brain Mapping 1993, 1:57-68.
- WATSON JDG, MYERS R, FRACKOWIAK RSJ, HAJNAL JV, WOODS RP,
 MAZZIOTTA JC, SHIPP S, ZEKI S: Area V5 of the Human Brain: Evidence From a Combined Study Using Positron Emission Tomography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Cerebr Cortex 1993, 3:79–94.

Watson and colleagues explore the variability of a functional area (V5) both in stereotactic coordinates and relative to sulcal anatomy. This paper is technically remarkable in several ways. Intrasubject image averaging for single-subject PET mapping is introduced. The association of functional activations with sulcal patterns derived from volume renderings of anatomical MRI is quite elegant.

HILLYARD SA: Electrical and Magnetic Brain Recordings: Contributions to Cognitive Neuroscience. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1993, 3:217-224.

This is a short but excellent review of the contributions of ERPs and ERFs to cognitive neuroscience.

- SCHERG M, EBERSOLE JS: Models of Brain Sources. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:419–423.
- 36. GEVINS AS, LE J, BRICKETT P, REUTTER B, DESMOND J: Seeing Through the Skull: Advanced EEGs use MIRs to Accurately Measure Cortical Activity from the Scalp. Brain Topogr 1991, 4:125-131.
- MOSHER JC, SPENCER ME, LEAHY RM, LEWIS PS: Error Bounds for EEG and MEG Dipole Source Localization. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1993, 86:303–321.

- PERRIN F, PERNIER J, BERTRAND O, ESCHALLIER JF: Spherical Splines for Scalp Potential and Current Density Mapping. *Electroen*cephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1989, 72:184–187.
- LAW SK, ROHRBAUG JW, ADAMS CM, ECKARDT MJ: Improving Spatial and Temporal Resolution in Evoked EEG Responses Using Surface Laplacians. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1993, 88:309–322.
- DALE AM, SERENO MI: Improved Localization of Cortical Activity
 by Combining EEG and MEG with MRI Cortical Surface Recon-

struction: a Linear Approach. J Cogn Neurosci 1993, 5:162–176. These authors present a powerful mathematical framework for modelbased data synthesis using cross-methodological constraints. In their approach, the brain cortical surface is first extracted from MRI anatomical scans. This anatomical information, along with functional activity information gained from PET and/or fMRI, can be used to constrain the source localization calculations for ERP and ERF data. This type of approach holds great promise for the integration of brain-mapping modalities.

- NUNEZ PL, SILBERSTEIN RB, CADUSCH PJ, WIJESINGHE R: Comparison of High-Resolution EEG Methods Having Different Theoretical Bases. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:361–364.
- 42. VAN DEN ELSEN PA, VIERGEVER MA, VAN HUFFELEN AC, VAN DER MEIJ W, WIENEKE GH: Accurate Matching of Electromagnetic Dipole Data with CT and MR Images. Brain Topogr 1991, 3:425-432.
- TOWLE VL, BOLANOS J, SUAREZ D, TAN K, GRZESZCZUK R, LEVIN
 DN, CAKMUR R, FRANK SA, SPIRE JP: The Spatial Location of EEG Electrodes: Locating the Best-Fitting Sphere Relative to Cortical Anatomy. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993, 86:1-6.

Many source-localization algorithms for ERPs and ERFs assume a threeshell spherical model. This study used MR images from a set of subjects to determine that the average best-fit sphere had a radius of 79–87 mm and a center on the floor of the third ventrical 5 mm anterior to the posterior commissure.

ROTH BJ, BALISH M, GORBACH A, SATO S: How Well Does a Three-Sphere Model Predict Positions of Dipoles in a Realistically Shaped Head? *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1993, 87:175-184.

This work analyzes the amount of error resulting from using a threeshell model of the head for dipole source localization rather than the true shape of the head.

- LAW SK: Thickness and Resistivity Variation over the Upper Surface of the Human Skull. Brain Topogr 1993, 6:99–109.
- 46. WIERINGA HJ, PETERS MJ, LOPES DA SILVA FH: The Estimation of a Realistic Localization of Dipole Layers Within the Brain Based on Functional (EEG, MEG) and Structural (MRI) Data: a Preliminary Note. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:327-330.
- MANGUN GR, HILLYARD SA, LUCK SJ: Electrocortical Substrates of
 Visual Selective Attention. In Attention and Performance, vol XIV. Edited by Meyer DE, Kornblum S. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1993:219–243.

This is a good example of superposing ERP current source density (CSD) distributions onto an MRI, and a good review of visual selective attention. Mangun *et al.* apply CSD both to the visual ERP P1 wave at 100 msec and the associated P1 attentional effect.

- BAUMGARTNER C, DOPPELBAUER A, SUTHERLING WW, LINDINGER G, LEVESQUE MF, AULL S, ZEITLHOFER J, DEECKE L: Somatotopy of Human Hand Somatosensory Cortex as Studied in Scalp EEG. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993, 88:271–279.
- BOTZEL K, PLENDL H, PAULUS W, SCHERG M: Bereitschaftspotential: Is There a Contribution of the Supplementary Motor Area? Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993, 89:187–196.
- WIJERS AA, MULDER G, VAN HOOF H, LANGE J, PETERS MJ, DUNAJSKI Z: Topography and Source Analysis of Brain Activity Associated with Selective Spatial Attention and Memory Search. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:383–388.
- HAMALAINEN M, HARI R, ILMONIEMI RJ, KNUUTILA J, LOUNASMAA OV: Magnetoencephalography — Theory, Instrumentation, and Applications to Noninvasive Studies of the Working Human Brain. Rev Mod Physics 1993, 65:413–497.
- MOSHER JC, LEWIS PS, LEAHY RM: Multiple Dipole Modeling and
 Localization of Spatio-Temporal MEG Data. *IEEE Trans Biomed* Eng 1992, 39:541-557.

This is the first application of the 'MUSIC' algorithm to source localization of ERFs. This work also has important implications for ERP source localization.

- 53. ILMONIEMI RJ: Models of Source Currents in the Brain. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:331-336.
- 54. IOANNIDES AA, SINGH KD, HASSON R, BAUMANN SB, ROGERS RL, GUINTO FC, PAPANICOLAOU AC: Comparison of Single Current Dipole and Magnetic Field Tomograph Analyses of the Cortical Response to Auditory Stimuli. Brain Topogr 1993, 6:27–34.
- 55. OKADA Y: Empirical Bases for Constraints in Current-Imaging Algorithms. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:373-377.
- WIKSWO JP, GEVINS A, WILLIAMSON SJ: The Future of the EEG and MEG. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1992, 87:1-9.
- WANG JZ, WILLIAMSON SJ, KAUFMAN L: Magnetic Source Imaging Based on the Minimum-Norm Least-Squares Inverse. Brain Topogr 1993, 5:365-371.
- PANTEV C, HOKE M, LEHNERTZ K, LUTKENHONER B, FAHRENDORF G, STOBER U: Indentification of Sources of Brain Neuronal Activity with High Spatiotemporal Resolution Through Combination of Neuromagnetic Source Localization (NMSL) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1990, 75:173-184.
- LU ZL, WILLIAMSON SJ, KAUFMAN L: Behavioral Lifetime of Human Auditory Sensory Memory Predicted by Physiological Measures. Science 1993, 258:1668–1670.
- 60. WOLDORFF MG, GALLEN CC, HAMPSON SA, HILLYARD SA, PANTEV
- C, SOBEL D, BLOOM FE: Modulation of Early Sensory Processing in Human Auditory Cortex During Auditory Selective Attention. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993, 90:8722–8726.

This report applies ERFs and MRI to the location and timing of early effects of auditory selective attention, providing important evidence that highly focused auditory attention can affect early sensory processing in human auditory cortex.

- SAMS M, HARI R, RIF J, KNUUTILA J: The Human Auditory Sensory Memory Trace Persists About 10 sec: Neuromagnetic Evidence. J Cogn Neurosci 1993, 5:363–370.
- ALHO K, HUOTILAINEN M, TIITINEN H, ILMONIEMI RJ, KNUUTILA J, NÄÄTANEN R: Memory-Related Processing of Complex Sound Patterns in Human Auditory Cortex: an MEG Study. Neuroreport 1993, 4:391-394.
- ALFORS SP, ILMONIEMI RJ, HAMALAINEN MS: Estimates of Visually Evoked Cortical Currents. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1992, 82:225–236.
- 64. YANG TT, GALLEN CC, SCHWARTZ BJ, BLOOM FE: Noninvasive
 Somatosensory Homunculus Mapping in Humans by Using a Large-Array Biomagnetometer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993,

90:3098–3102. Combining high-resolution ERF and MRI, these authors mapped the somatopy of primary somatosensory cortex.

- PANTEV C, ELBERT T, MAKEIG S, HAMPSON S, EULITZ C, HOKE M: Relationship of Transient and Steady-State Auditory Evoked Fields. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993, 88:389–396.
- 66. WALTER H, KRISTEVA R, KNORR U, SCHLAUG G, HUANG Y,
- STEINMETZ H, NEBELING B, HERZOG H, SEITZ RJ: Individual Somatotopy of Primary Sensorimotor Cortex Revealed by Intermodal Matching of MEG, PET, and MRI. Brain Topogr 1992, 5:183-187.

One of the few published examples of integration of the functional imaging techniques of magnetoencephalography (MEG) and PET with each other and with the structural imaging of MR.

- SOONG ACK, LIND JC, SHAW GR, KOLES ZJ: Systematic Comparisons of Interpolation Techniques in Topographic Brain Mapping. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1993, 87:185–195.
- SCHERG M, BERG P: Use of Prior Knowledge in Brain Electromagnetic Source Analysis. Brain Topogr 1991, 4:143-150.
- WOOD CC, COHEN D, CUFFIN BN, ALLISON T: Electrical Sources in Human Somatosensory Cortex: Identification by Combined Magnetic and Potential Recordings. *Science* 1985, 227:1051–1053.
- DOWNS H, LANCASTER JL, FOX PT: 3-D Surface-Based Spatial Normalization Using a Convex Hull. In Functional Neuroimaging: Technical Foundations. Edited by Thatcher RW, Hallett M, Zeffiro T, John ER, Heurta M. Orlando: Academic Press; 1994, in press.
- FRISTON EJ, FRITH CD, LIDDLE PF, FRACKOWIAK RSJ: Plastic Transformation of PET Images. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1991, 15:634-639.
- JOUANDET ML, TRAMO MK, HERRON DM, HERMANN A, LOFTUS WC, BAZELL J, GAZZANIGA MS: Brainprints: Computer-Generated Two-Dimensional Maps of the Human Cerebral Cortex in Vivo. J Cogn Neurosci 1989, 1:88–117.
- DREVETS WC, VIDEEN TO, PRICE JL, PRESKORN SH, CARMICHAEL ST, RAICHLE ME: A Functional Anatomical Study of Unipolar Depression. J Neurosci 1992, 12:3628–3641.
- PARDO JV, PARDO PJ, RAICHLE ME: Neural Correlates of Self-Induced Dysphoria. Am J Psychiatry 1993, 150:713–719.
- FOX PT, MIKITEN S, DAVIS G, LANCASTER JL: BrainMap: a Database of Human Functional Brain Mapping. In Functional Neuroimaging: Technical Foundations. Edited by Thatcher RW, Hallett M, Zeffiro T, John ER, Heurta M. Orlando: Academic Press; 1994, in press.
- 76. FRISTON KJ, FRITH CD, FRACKOWIAK RSJ: Time-Dependent Changes in Effective Connectivity Measured with PET. Human Brain Mapping 1993, 1:69–79.
- 77. FRISTON KJ, FRITH CD, LIDDLE PF, FRACKOWIAK RSJ: Functional Connectivity: the Principal-Component Analysis of Large (PET) Data Sets. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 1993, 13:5-14.
- MCINTOSH AR, GRADY CL, UNGERLEIDER LG, HAXBY JV, RAPOPORT SI, HORWITZ B: Network Analysis of Cortical Visual Pathways Mapped with PET. J Neurosci 1994, in press.
- 79. GEVINS A, CUTILLO B, LE J, HARRISON L, MARTIN N, SMITH ME, BRESSLER S, BRICKETT P, DESMOND J, MCLAUGHLIN J, WARD M: Imaging the Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Cognition with High Resolution Evoked Potential Methods. *Human Brain Mapping* 1994, in press.
- HORWITZ B, SPORNS O: Neural Modeling and Functional Neuroimaging. Human Brain Mapping 1994, in press.

PT Fox and MG Woldorff, Research Imaging Center, UTHSCSA, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78284-6240, USA.